EXHIBIT 7

GODFREY P. OAKLEY, JR., M.D. D.W.K. vs. ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC.,

October 16, 2014

Page 8

_		 ****	**********
ge 5		 0044	

4

5

8

9

10

14

- 1 Q. You can hold on to that for now.
- 2 Α. Okav.
- 3 Q. Have you seen this document before today?
- 4 I believe so, yeah, sure. Α.
- 5 Including its list of documents and
- 6 things --
- 7 A. Yep.
- to be produced? 8 Q.
- 9 A. Yep.
- Q. Okay. The other commitment we need to 10
- 11 make to one another -- and I saw this a bit in the
- prior transcript -- is it's important to keep Kara
- from kicking one or both of us that we not talk over 13
- 14 one another.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Okay?
- 17 Α. Yep.
- 18 Q. I'll try real hard to let you complete
- 19 your answer before I move on to the next question.

There won't be any hollering, but we'll

All right. So in response to the list of

documents and things that's included in Exhibit 1,

you arrived here with your counsel this morning or

plaintiff's counsel this morning with three different

to me. And I'd like to just get those on the record

so we don't forget that housekeeping matter.

documents, four different documents that she handed

- 20 If you could do the same to me in reverse.
- 21 A. Thank you. Yep, yep.
- 22 Q. Thanks.
- 23 Holler if I screw up. Okay? Α.
- 24 Q. Okay.

work it out.

1

2

3

7

23

24

25

you would.

A. Okay.

25 Sorry if I --

- Page 7 June 1st, 2011 letter from you to Patricia Giordano
 - 2 in connection with a plaintiff or a person by the
 - name of Gabrielle Bowman; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. All right. And Exhibit 5, as numbered, is
 - 6 a March 12th, 2013 letter, also to Ms. Giordano, also
 - in connection with Gabrielle Bowman.
 - If I could get you to confirm that.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. And then Exhibit 6 is a report
 - 11 that was prepared on your behalf or by you in
 - 12 connection with litigation pending in Great Britain;
 - 13 is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - 15 And this was the report that was
 - 16 addressed, in brief at least, at your last depo and
 - was requested by my partner Mr. Strain and provided
 - 18 by counsel to us in the interim.
 - 19 So I just want to make sure that, to your 20 recollection, this is the report that you mentioned
 - 21 and that Mr. Strain asked for at your last depo.
 - 22 A. My recollection, yes.
 - 23 Q. Okay. And then the last of these
 - 24 pre-numbered exhibits that we have is two pages of
 - 25 time records that I understand from Ms. Brahmbhatt

Page 6

5

1 are a supplementation of Exhibit 3 to the last

deposition.

3 Is that an accurate description of those

- 4 two pages?
 - A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. Let's start with the last one. Why
- 7 don't you put Exhibit 7 on top?
- 8 And I don't have a copy of that. So my
- apologies for leaning over on you. I can read upside
- down. That's fine. Tell me what records -- oh, 10
- 11 thank you very much.

12 Ms. Brahmbhatt has just provided me with

13 an additional copy.

14 Exhibit 7, I take it, reflects all the

15 additional time you've billed to the Depakote matters

pending in the U.S. through these sets of counsel 16

- 17 since your deposition was taken in the Bonner LeJeune
- 18
- case on February 21st, 2014?
- 19 A. I haven't billed anybody yet, but that's
- 20 the time sheet.
- 21 Q. Okay. So have you billed anybody for the
- 22 time reflected in Exhibit 3 to the prior depo?
- 23 A. I have not.
 - Q. Okay. Do you expect to?
- 25 A. I do.

24

10 Because of the way I've numbered them, let me say that we've marked as Exhibit 2 to the 11 12 deposition your October 1st report. 13 A. No. 2 is my report. 14 Q. No. 2 is your report. No. 3 is an article entitled Acyclovir in 15 Pregnancy Registry. It is dated August 29th, 1988, according to the footer and appears to have been 17 published in the American Journal of Medicine. It's 18 19 Pages 123 through 128 of Volume 85. 20 Would you agree with that? 21 22 Q. Okay. So hold on to that one for me, if

Exhibit 4, as we've marked it, is a



2

3

8 9

10

11

12

14

21

22

23

24

10

17

21

GODFREY P. OAKLEY, JR., M.D. D.W.K. vs. ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC.,

October 16, 2014 13–16

Page 15

Page 16

Q. Okay.
 A. Let's see. Around four and a half hours,
 something like that.
 Q. It looks like it's approaching five.
 A. Okay.

Q. Four hours, 50 minutes.A. Okay. Something like that.

8 Q. And that was doing what?

9 A. I spent the morning reviewing the previous

10 depositions and the things you asked for and my11 current report and the Riddick report. So I reread

12 those and tried to get up to speed on those.

13 Q. Did you meet with counsel at all?

14 A. I did after 2:30. The 2:40 there, the

15 2:40 to 4:30, I was with counsel.

16 Q. Okay. You made reference again to my list17 of things to be produced.

Did you see anything in this list that
would have called for documents that you're aware of
the existence of but you were unable to produce them
for one reason or another?

22 A. I don't think so.

2

5

6

9

15

Q. Okay. I'm not suggesting that there wouldbe. Just wanted to make sure.

25 We had added a couple of things. In

1 Q. But I just want to check.

Have you had any contact with AbbVie?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

I mean there may have been some contacts in '82 that I just don't recall. I know they came to my boss's office. But you know about that. We've told you that.

If there was any other than that, I am sort of unaware of --

Q. Blissfully unaware?

A. Huh?

Q. Blissfully unaware of those?

13 A. Yeah, I suppose.

Q. All right. Well, thanks for going through

15 that list with me.

16 All right. Can we take a look at

17 Exhibit 3?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What is it that caused you to bring

20 Exhibit 3 with you to today's deposition?

A. I thought in the previous deposition there were questions I was asked repeatedly, I thought, about was it possible to do a pregnancy registry.

And I thought this paper showed that it

25 was. In fact, Burroughs Wellcome took on its own to

Page 14

particular I'm looking, Doctor, at No. 18.

MS. BRAHMBHATT: What exhibit?

Q. (By Mr. MacWilliams) No. 18 in here which was any documents you have relating to the events of 1982-'83 involving Dr. Robert and your activities or communications relating to those events.

A. I have no documents that I'm aware of that

7 Do you have any documents meeting that8 description?

10 I have. You know, it's been a long time ago; and I
11 certainly don't have them that I'm aware of.
12 Q. Okay. No. 19, which is a new one, is any

Q. Okay. No. 19, which is a new one, is any
documents reflecting any contact you've had with
Abbott regarding Depakote.

Do you have any such documents?

16 A. I don't believe so.

17 Q. If we changed that Abbott to AbbVie, does

that change your answer to that question?So Abbott Labs spun off AbbVie

20 January 21st, 2013. So I actually represent two

21 corporate entities, Abbott Laboratories, Inc., and

22 AbbVie. And I didn't put AbbVie in there; but it's

23 In the definition of Abbott earlier in the subpoena,

24 I'm sure, or should have been.

25 A. Yeah.

set up a pregnancy registry in a way of trying toassure the safety of acyclovir or if there were to be

assure the salety of acyclovit of it there were to bea problem to be on top of it.

And so I thought as an example about the time -- '84 when they started it. It's about the time of '82 that suggests it could be -- it certainly could be done.

8 Q. Okay.

9 A. And so that's why I put it in.

Q. Thank you.

You mentioned -- you used the term
pregnancy registry, and I was hoping that you could
help me get straight the multiple different
registries that I've seen in the various transcripts.

15 So let me list them first, and we'll take

16 it from there.

A. Okay.

18 Q. I've seen the term pregnancy registry,

19 I've seen the term exposure registry, and I've seen

20 the term birth defects registry.

A. Okay.

22 Q. Are there any others that we should add to

23 the list of the registries that you expect to talk

24 about in connection with these cases?

25 A. I suppose that there were -- there are epi



GODFREY P. OAKLEY, JR., M.D. D.W.K. vs. ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC.,

October 16, 2014 17 - 20

Page 19

methods like case-control methods. Case control and cohort are terms that come up. I don't know where 2 different ways. 3 the -- I mean not -- case control and, yeah, cohort.

Q. Okay. So there's other terminology that's related to this subject matter that --

A. Yep. 6

5

7

8

Q. - we might get into.

9 that could or might be put in place to track these kind of issues or help investigate the types of issues we're talking about, pregnancy registry, 11 exposure registry and birth defects registry more or 12 less covers the waterfront? 13

But, in terms of the types of registries

14 A. I think so.

15 Q. All right. Can you give me working

definitions that I should understand for each of 16

these -- and starting with pregnancy registry -- what

it means and maybe distinguish between the three? 18

19 Α. Could I start with the birth defects one 20 first?

21 Q. Perfect. That's fine.

22 I spent most of my time with birth defects 23 registers.

24 Q. Registries or registers?

25 Well, there are many of them around the babies with birth defects. And people do it in

that's one of its uses.

8

The one I worked on in its early days was 4 a direct result of the thalidomide epidemic. And the raison d'être for the surveillance system was to 6 provide hopefully an early warning system were there 7 to be another drug that caused an epidemic. So

It also provides the use -- provides the 9 10 opportunity to do what we call case-control studies. 11 And they can be of various formats, but in short they basically get exposure information after the case has 12 13 occurred and compare that with a control.

14 And that is the most common way which 15 adverse effects from drugs are discovered whether it's a birth defect issue or whether it's a cardiovascular issue or a -- whatever it is they 18 almost -- not all but almost all from cases in 19 case-control studies.

20 So registries give -- you do two basic 21 things in a registry. One is you count and monitor. Let's say we had 100 birth defects that we count. 23 And we could monitor by month, by year, by quarter.

In the registries around the U.S., we had one while I

was there for a while that was for multiple sites.

country and around the world. So the birth defects registries, you know, I have -- I was -- there was

one directly in the unit I was in in Atlanta. 3

4 Q. Right.

2

5

9

19

25

A. And we did business with some of the

6 others that occurred around the country later. Q. Okay. I was actually detecting a 7

difference between the word registry and register. 8

Is there a functional difference --

10 A. Not that I --

11 Q. -- between those two terms?

12 A. I don't think so, no.

Q. Okay. So if we said -- and we've got to 13

make sure we don't talk over each other. 14

A. Yep. Sorry. Thank you. 15

16 Q. If we said birth defects registry, that

would be synonymous with birth defects register for 17

18 our purposes here today?

A. I believe that to be true.

Q. Okay. And I got you off track. So I'll 20

21 let you continue.

22 Tell us about what it means to establish a 23 birth defects registry as compared to these other two

terms we're going to talk about.

So a birth defects registry, you count

1 We could get from births around the country the same

thing, so on.

Page 18

3 And then -- so that's a count of the baby,

the baby with a birth defect. And one of its

disadvantages is not cerebral palsy or other things.

6 It's just birth defects. Not cancer, not heart

7 attack. Birth defects. But it allows you the

opportunity, depending upon how well you can assess

9 the exposure, to look at essentially an infinite

10 number of exposures.

11

21

12 environment of chemicals that presumably haven't been 13 tested and no drug is marketed that's been given to 14 pregnant women and yet pregnant women get -- I mean women of reproductive age get pregnant. It's a 15 16 reasonable way to try to look at whether drugs would 17 cause a birth defect.

And so since there were, you know, 100,000

18 So the first obligation was to count and 19 see if it went up, down, sideways. And we did that. 20 And then ---

Q. You're saying we did that.

22 You did that in connection with a particular registry you're talking about?

23 24 A. The one I directed in Atlanta for a while,

the Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program.



GODFREY P. OAKLEY, JR., M.D. D.W.K. vs. ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC.,

October 16, 2014 65 - 68

Page 67

										−age) t
1	Q.	Hav	e you	ever	expr	ess	ed to	o FDA	in an	ıy	
^		1. 11				4.0	r		44		

- 2 memorialized way your view that every drug that's
- going to be -- going to result in pregnancy exposures
- should have a registry upon launch?
- A. I don't have any recollection of doing that, although there's still some generic papers I've written I haven't read -- reread to find out if I did
- say that once.
- Q. Okay. When you had this conversation 9 with -- is it Roche about Valium? 10
- 11 A. It was Roche, yes.
- 12 Q. Did you tell them at the time, hey, what
- 13 are you doing, you need a registry?
 - A. I don't remember. Probably not.
- 15 Q. Is there a -- if you haven't said it in
- writing, are you aware of anybody else of similar
- high reputation to yours having said, hey, we've got
- 18 to have this?

14

1

- 19 A. Say again.
- 20 Q. Has anybody else in any published way said
- 21 it is necessary and appropriate and required --
- 22 should be required for all drug companies to launch
- pregnancy registries at the same time they launch 23
- 24 products that are going to be prescribed to women of 25 child-bearing age?
 - Page 66
 - A. What I took this Burroughs Wellcome paper
- to say was that Burroughs Wellcome thought that it
- was their corporate responsibility to set up such a
- registry, and they did that. And it seems to me if
- it was reasonable for Burroughs Wellcome to do that
- it would be reasonable for other companies that are 6
- going to have a product on the market that they know
- women of reproductive age are going to be taking and
- therefore pregnancies will occur.
- 10 Q. Acyclovir is what kind of product, Doctor?
- 11 Α. It's a drug to treat a viral infection, I
- 12 think.
- Q. Okay. It's not used for any indication 13
- 14 that is -- for which valproate is indicated, correct?
- In laymen's terms, there's no overlap between
- acyclovir and Depakote, right? 16
- 17 A. You mean as drugs?
- 18 Q. Yes, sir, what they're prescribed for.
- 19 A. Might be prescribed to the same woman.
- Same woman might have two things. 20
- 21 That's why I couldn't quite figure out
- 22 what you're asking.
- 23 Q. There's no reason why a doctor would be
- 24 considering I've got this patient with this
- condition, should I put her on acyclovir or put her

- 1 on Depakote? That doesn't happen?
 - A. I think that's a fair statement.
- Q. Okay. And, again, I'm not smart enough to
- 4 be tricky. So I'm just trying to get us a common
- 5 lexicon here.

2

6

14

- If Burroughs Wellcome did it for
- 7 acyclovir, how many other products -- do they
- mention -- I haven't had the opportunity to go over 8
- 9 Exhibit 3 to the extent you have.
- 10 Does it talk about whether they're going
- 11 to do that in every case?
- A. I don't remember seeing that; but, again. 12
- 13 I went through it pretty fast.
 - Q. How many drugs -- Burroughs Wellcome isn't
- 15 around anymore in that -- as that name, right? Do
- 16 you know who they are these days?
- 17 A. I don't really know frankly. 18
 - Q. Okay. Me neither.
- 19 Do you know whether Burroughs Wellcome at 20 the time had a roster of other drugs that were
- prescribed for women of child-bearing age?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- 23 Q. Recognizing that you only looked through
 - this article briefly in the last few days, have you
- explored whether Burroughs Wellcome or its successor
- actually did this same setup for any other drug?
- 2 A. I haven't looked at that.
- 3 Q. Are you aware of any -- pardon me while I
- look to see when this was published. 5 A. I think it's '84. It's '88 but it started
- 6 in '84.
- 7 Q. That's what I was going to get at.
 - So the publication is '88. It's referring
- 9 back to a registry that the inception of which was
- 10 '84.

8

17

20

- 11 Are you aware of any published follow-up
- 12 to this '88 article?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. So we don't know sitting here today
- whether it worked, didn't work, how long it took, how
- 16 many cases they ever got, that sort of thing?
 - A. It may be known.
- 18 I frankly just found this this morning.
- 19 So I didn't follow it up, no.
 - Q. Okay.
- 21 But I thought one of the issues was was it
- 22 possible to do this in this time frame. And I think
- 23 this clearly demonstrates it's possible to do it.
- 24 That's what struck my eye when I saw it. There's no
- question this could be done and just as, I think,

